DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT

June 17, 1999

To: Files

From: Ronald W. Schlorff

Subject: 1999 Bank Swallow population survey, Sacramento River, Red Bluff to Feather River confluence.

On June 9, 10 and 11 surveys for nesting bank swallows were conducted by staffs of the CEQA/CESA Program of the Habitat Conservation Planning Branch and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge using a jet boat belonging to the Service. All colonies were located and the total burrow numbers at each colony were double counted and averaged during the three days of survey. The GPS locations also were recorded. The survey started at a point just below the Red Bluff Diversion Dam at River Mile (RM) 243.0 and proceeded southward to the confluence of the Feather River at about RM 80.00. The following are the results of counts indexed by RM; left bank side (L) and right bank side (R), traveling southward with the current of the river. Unlike last year when none were found, a few colonies were active below the town of Colusa. As in previous years, the colonies above RM 243.0 were estimated based on earlier year's survey results.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>River Mile</u>	<u>Side</u>	Average total burrow count (rounded to nearest 10)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		_				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		L				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	239.5	L	300			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	239.2	R	30			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	237.2	R	10			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	236.6	R	380			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	234.9	R	250			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	233.8	L	180			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	232.8	R	930			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	232.0	L	330			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	228.0	L	400			
226.0 L 110 221.8 L 1540 216.4 L 390 212.0 L 510 211.9 R 850 210.6 L 30	226.2	R	580			
221.8 L 1540 216.4 L 390 212.0 L 510 211.9 R 850 210.6 L 30	226.2	L	50			
216.4 L 390 212.0 L 510 211.9 R 850 210.6 L 30	226.0	L	110			
212.0 L 510 211.9 R 850 210.6 L 30	221.8	L	1540			
211.9 R 850 210.6 L 30	216.4	L	390			
211.9 R 850 210.6 L 30	212.0	L	510			
210.6 L 30		R				
		L				
	205.8	L	110			

(Continued)

River Mile	<u>Side</u>	Average burrow count (rounded to nearest 10)				
205.7	R	50				
201.0	R	60				
200.8	L	180				
195.6	L	770				
193.1	R	100				
192.4	L	870				
189.7	R	540				
184.8	R	360				
182.5	L	930				
179.0	R	770				
177.6	L	290				
175.0	L	90				
174.4	L	160				
173.0	R	1350				
171.8	L	190				
171.2	R	50				
167.2	L	60				
167.0	L	80				
164.8	L	110				
162.7	L	160				
162.0	R	170				
161.6	L	40				
156.6	L	1100				
156.4	R	510				
155.0	L	30				
154.6	L	190				
150.8	R	60				
145.7	L	10				
131.5	R	150				
129.3	L	40				
100.8	L	50				
83.3	L	80				
83.0	R	50				

RESULTS SUMMARY

Total Colonies counted = 52 Total burrows counted = 16,960 Estimated Cols. Redding to Red Bluff = 5 Est. Burrows = 1,290 Survey total Cols. = 57 Survey total Burrows = 18,250 Average Burrows per Colony = 320 (rounded to nearest 10) Burrow Occupancy Rate = 0.45

(continued)

Number of Pairs (0.45 x 18,250) = **8,210** (rounded to nearest 10) 1998 Number of Pairs = **4,990**

Population trend = up approx. **39** percent over last year; down **38** percent from 1986 baseline of **13,170** pairs in **72** colonies.

Average colony size has declined from **410** burrows/col. In 1986 to the current **320** burrows/col. (78 percent of baseline figure)

River Reach summary (ave. figures rounded to nearest 10):

RM 81-143	370 burrows	5 cols.	Ave. =	74 burrows per col.
RM 144-168	2,520 burrows	12 cols.	Ave. =	210 burrows per col.
RM 169-199	6,470 burrows	13 cols.	Ave. =	500 burrows per col.
RM 200-243	7,600 burrows	22 cols.	Ave. =	340 burrows per col.
<u>RM 244-292</u> Totals:	1,290 burrows (18,250 burrows			260 burrows per col. 320 burrows per col.

DATA INTERPRETATION

The population has rebounded dramatically over last year but is still threatened by activities that will reduce its habitat. The largest documented colony in this year's survey is slated for bank protection measures as are many others. If all proposed sites were rip-rapped then the habitat for the population could be severely affected resulting in further declines in the future. Despite the one year increase to levels not seen for nearly a decade, I believe that the population remains a candidate for endangered status and a petition should be prepared at the earliest date in order to get it through the sometimes lengthy listing process before the Fish and Game Commission. According to the Population Viability Analysis we have conducted on this species, it is now in grave danger of further declines or disappearing entirely within the next few decades because it is below a threshold of risk level of 10,000 pairs. The trend of government and privately financed riprapping projects could hasten the extirpation of the bank swallow from its distribution on the Sacramento River.

> Ronald W. Schlorff Endangered Species Biologist Habitat Conservation Planning Branch